

BOARD EVALUATION POLICY

I. INTRODUCTION

Thrust of the Corporate Governance of the Bank is to enhance shareholders' value by pursuing ethical practices in the conduct of its business and maintaining high standard of disclosure and transparency. The Bank has adopted best practices, and standards of governance are monitored by various Committees of the Board. The Board, the Executives and other functionaries have distinctly demarcated roles in achieving the corporate goals – improved performance and enhanced shareholders' value. The Bank is constituted under the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 and the composition of its Board of Directors is governed by the said Act read with the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970. All directors of the Bank are appointed/nominated by Central Government except one director representing interests of the shareholders of the Bank who is elected by shareholders other than the Central Government.

The general superintendence, direction and management of the affairs and business of the Bank is vested in the Board of Directors. Board of Directors of the Bank has been bestowed with numerous responsibilities to ensure that overall performance of the Bank are being directed towards achievement of the corporate goals and in line with the corporate vision of the Bank which intends to be CENTRAL to the banking and financial needs of all.



Board Evaluation Policy is aimed at establishing a constructive procedure for conducting evaluation of the performance of non-official directors and is based on the communication F.No. 6/20/2019-BO.I dated 30th August 2019 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Financial Services on PSB Governance Reforms — Enhancing governance through improved effectiveness of non-official directors.

II. OBJECTIVE

Reserve Bank of India observes that a structured framework is needed to assess the effectiveness of the Board Committees and their members. Further, Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 requires that the performance evaluation of independent directors shall be done by the entire board of directors.

With a view to improve corporate governance in public sector banks by instituting certain measures, Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Financial Services vide their communication F.No.6/20/2019-BO.I dated 30th August 2019 communicated 'PSB Governance Reforms – Enhancing governance through improved effectiveness of non-official directors'. The Communication *inter alia* states that the evolution in corporate governance in recent years is reflected in the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 [SEBI(LODR) Regulations]. Regulation 17(10) of SEBI(LODR) Regulations provides for performance evaluation of independent



......

directors by the entire Board of Directors. While the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 (the parent statute) does not define independent directors, non-official directors nominated under clauses (g) and (h) of sub-section (3) of section 9 of the said Act, including non-executive chairman appointed under clause 5(1) of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970 are similar in nature.

In line with the transparent corporate governance practices being followed in the Bank and in order to improve it further, it is intended to evaluate performance of non-official directors on peer-review basis. Entire Board of Directors, other than the non-official director who is subject to evaluation, shall evaluate the performance of each non-official director upon completion of every period of one year from the date of her/his nomination. The Bank shall also convey the same to the Department of Financial Services, Ministry of Finance, Government of India in the specified formats as mentioned in **Annexure 1** of this policy, not later than three months from completion of each such period.

Objectives of the Board Evaluation Programme is being construed as a strategic tool for betterment rather than as criticism, which will fetch considered direction in identifying areas required to enhance performance of the non-official directors. Bank expects the Board, its committees and the directors to update and acquire new skills corresponding to the changing business environment and expectations, which will significantly enhance Board effectiveness, maximize stakeholders' wealth, improve corporate relations and contribute to the social & economic welfare of the nation.



III. FREQUENCY OF EVALUATION

Board of Directors shall evaluate the performance of each non-official director upon completion of every period of one year from the date of her/his nomination.

IV. POLICY REVIEW

Board of Directors will review this policy as and when required, to ascertain its appropriateness to suit the changing requirements of the Bank but at least once in a year.

.

V. DISCLOSURE

The Policy has been made available on Bank's website, namely-www.centralbankofindia.co.in under the link "Investor Relations". Outcome of the Performance Evaluation will also form part of the corporate governance statement contained in the annual report of the Bank.

Page **4** of **7**



Annexure -1

Performance Evaluation of Non-official Director by Board of Directors

Part I: Factual information in respect of non-official director

1.	Bank	:	Central Bank of India
2.	Name of the director	:	
3.	Period for the evaluation	:	
4.	Date of Birth	:	
5.	Category	:	[specify the provision under which nominated: Non-executive chairman, or non-official director nominated under clause (g) or (h) of section 9(3) of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970]
6.	Term	:	from to
7.	(a) Number of Board meetings held	:	
	(b) Number of Board meetings attended	:	

8. Membership on committees of the Board:

S.N.	Committee	Period during which director was member on the committee		Number of meetings	
		From	То	Held	Attended
(1)					
(2)					
(3)					
(4)					
(5)					
(6)					
Total					

Signature of Company Secretary:	
Name:	
Date:	
	Page 5 of 7



	Part II: Board's evaluation of pe	rformance of	non-official	director
Name	e of director:			
Perio	d for the evaluation:			
Profe	essional and ethical conduct obs	erved:	(tick	appropriate box)
S.N.	Attribute	Maintaining the highest standards at all times	Generally adhering to standards expected	Non- adherence to standards expected observed
(1)	Acting in accordance with provisions of law, rules and regulations			
(2)	Acting in the best interest of the bank			
(3)	Exercise of due and reasonable care, skill, diligence and independent judgement			
(4)	Avoidance of direct or indirect conflicts of interest			
(5)	Avoidance of undue gain or advantage either to self or relative, partners or associates			
(6)	Maintaining confidentiality of information, including commercial secrets and unpublished market sensitive information			
Comr	nents:			



Conf	tribution:		(tick a	ppropriate bo
S.N.	Aspect	At all times	Generally	Room for improvemen
(1)	Striving to attend all Board and committee meetings			
(2)	Seeking appropriate clarification or amplification of information where necessary			
(3)	Display of requisite knowledge and expected level of awareness of the bank and external environment in meetings and comments			
(4)	Contribution in terms of constructive ideas, guidance and knowledge for better decision-making and management of bank's affairs			
(5)	Timeline of feedback on decision being taken by the bank			
Com	ments:	I	I	ı
	Signature of Company Secre	tary:		
	N	ame:		
	Г)ate:		